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Malnutrition and its associated factors among children under 5 years old in Putrajaya: a study protocol
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Prevalence of malnourished children in Putrajaya was unexpectedly high in 2016. This paper describes the study protocol for a case-control study conducted to identify the associated factors of malnutrition among children under 5 years old in Putrajaya. Methods: This study involved two phases. Phase I was ‘screening’ where all children aged 6-59 months in 118 preschools and four government health clinics were measured for their weight and height. The World Health Organization Anthro software was used to determine the nutritional status of these children. Phase II was the ‘interview’ where children from screening were sampled into four pairs of case and control. The optimum sample size for the case of stunted, wasted, underweight, and overweight were 380, 335, 318, and 308, respectively. The same number of controls were recruited. Parents/caregivers of selected children were approached to obtain data on parental factors, children factors, food intake factors, and environmental factors that contributed to malnutrition. Data analysis was performed by multiple logistic regression in SPSS version 26. Results: Screening phase successfully measured 8,261 (50.1%) children from an estimated 16,500 children under 5 years old in Putrajaya. The number of children who were stunted, wasted, underweight, and overweight were 2,105 (25.5%), 512 (6.2%), 1,516 (18.4%), and 248 (3.0%), respectively. As overweight was under-sampled, the number of controls for overweight was doubled to increase the power of the study. Parents/caregivers of selected cases and controls were interviewed in their household or any other venues at their convenience. Conclusion: This protocol promises beneficial outputs to stakeholders and policy makers that can be used for combating malnutrition in children.
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INTRODUCTION

Malnutrition is a state of disordered nutrition, in which a combination of varying degrees of over- or undernutrition and inflammatory activity has led to a change in body composition, diminished functions and health outcomes (Soeters et al., 2017). Undernutrition is defined as inadequate or imbalanced intake or absorption of micro- or macronutrients that leads to multiple conditions including acute and chronic malnutrition and micronutrient deficiencies; while overnutrition usually is associated with overweight and obesity, a form of malnutrition where the amount of nutrients consumed exceeds the amount required for normal growth, development, and metabolism (Black et al., 2016). The co-existence of under- and overnutrition in a population is recognised as a “nutrition transition”, which has been linked to rapid economic development, urbanisation, and overall modernisation in both low- and middle-income countries (Shetty, 2013).

According to the findings from the National Health and Morbidity Survey (NHMS) 2016, the prevalence of stunting, wasting, underweight, and overweight among children under 5 years old in Malaysia were 20.7%, 11.5%, 13.7%, and 6.4%, respectively (IPH, 2016a). Compared to previous NHMS 2011 and NHMS 2015, the prevalences of stunting and underweight have increased throughout this period. However, for wasting, the prevalence decreased from NHMS 2011 to NHMS 2015, and increased in NHMS 2016. On the contrary, the prevalence of overweight increased from NHMS 2011 to NHMS 2015, but decreased in NHMS 2016 (IPH, 2015; IPH, 2011). The current categorisation of prevalence threshold for malnutrition in children under 5 years old discloses that stunting and wasting in Malaysia are of high public health significance, while overweight is regarded as medium public health significance. This categorisation predicts a huge burden on health care services in future if the current condition remains as high public health significance (De Onis et al., 2019).

Putrajaya, which is the administrative capital of Malaysia, recorded the fourth highest prevalence for stunting (24.3%) among children under 5 years old in the country in 2016. Meanwhile, the prevalences for other malnutrition indicators - wasting (8.7%), underweight (12.9%), and overweight (4.5%) in Putrajaya were approximately the same as the national prevalences (IPH, 2016a). These malnutrition issues among children under 5 years old, specifically in Putrajaya, has been highlighted in the government’s cabinet meeting. The top management has since requested for more data and immediate action. One of the proposed efforts is a comprehensive study to find out the factors contributing to childhood malnutrition in Putrajaya.

Table 1 illustrates the associated factors of malnutrition in children according to previous local and international studies. Generally, four categories of associated factors can be formed, which are parental factors, children factors, food intake factors, and environmental factors (Stewart et al., 2013; Pasricha & Biggs, 2010; Wong, Moy & Nair, 2014; Harding, Aguayo & Webb, 2018; Rahman, 2016; Andegiorgish et al., 2012; Park et al., 2013). All associated factors in Table 1 were translated into assessment modules in this study. This paper describes the study protocol of a case-control study to identify the associated factors of malnutrition among children under 5 years old in Putrajaya.
Table 1. Associated factors of malnutrition among children under 5 years old from literature review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Associated factors from previous studies</th>
<th>Stunted</th>
<th>Wasted</th>
<th>Underweight</th>
<th>Overweight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parental factor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parental height</td>
<td>✓ adef</td>
<td>✓ def</td>
<td>✓ adef</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parental body weight status</td>
<td>✓ g</td>
<td>✓ g</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓ bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower education</td>
<td>✓ adef</td>
<td>✓ adf</td>
<td>✓ adf</td>
<td>✓ bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working mother</td>
<td>✓ c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low household income</td>
<td>✓ adef</td>
<td>✓ adfg</td>
<td>✓ adf</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher household income</td>
<td>✓ c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-pregnancy BMI &gt;25kg/m²</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓ bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational diabetes mellitus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓ bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children factor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low birth weight</td>
<td>✓ adef</td>
<td>✓ def</td>
<td>✓ adef</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High birth weight</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓ bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male in gender</td>
<td>✓ f</td>
<td>✓ fg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delayed initiation</td>
<td>✓ df</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than four siblings</td>
<td>✓ adef</td>
<td>✓ ef</td>
<td>✓ adef</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequent illness (monthly)</td>
<td>✓ adef</td>
<td>✓ adef</td>
<td>✓ adef</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worm infection</td>
<td>✓ adef</td>
<td>✓ adef</td>
<td>✓ adef</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaemia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓ c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapid weight gain under 1 year</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓ c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firstborn in the family</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food intake factor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-exclusive breastfeeding</td>
<td>✓ df</td>
<td>✓ df</td>
<td>✓ df</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early cessation of breastfeeding</td>
<td>✓ df</td>
<td>✓ df</td>
<td>✓ df</td>
<td>✓ c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complementary feeding not at 6 months</td>
<td>✓ df</td>
<td>✓ df</td>
<td>✓ df</td>
<td>✓ c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Received bottle-feeding</td>
<td>✓ df</td>
<td>✓ df</td>
<td>✓ df</td>
<td>✓ c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using pacifier</td>
<td>✓ df</td>
<td>✓ df</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrequent &amp; inadequate feeding</td>
<td>✓ adef</td>
<td>✓ def</td>
<td>✓ adef</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High calorie and sugar intake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓ c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low dietary diversity</td>
<td>✓ adef</td>
<td>✓ def</td>
<td>✓ adef</td>
<td>✓ c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food insecurity</td>
<td>✓ adef</td>
<td>✓ ade</td>
<td>✓ ade</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental factor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inadequate child stimulation and activity</td>
<td>✓ df</td>
<td>✓ d</td>
<td>✓ d</td>
<td>✓ be</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor care practice</td>
<td>✓ df</td>
<td>✓ d</td>
<td>✓ d</td>
<td>✓ c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screen time &gt;2 hours</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓ c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long sleep duration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓ c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^a Pasricha & Biggs, 2010  
^b Andegiorgish et al., 2012  
^c te Velde et al. 2012  
^d Stewart et al., 2013  
^e Wong et al., 2014  
^f Rahman, 2016  
^g Harding et al., 2018
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design
This was a case-control study to identify the associated factors of malnutrition among children under five in Putrajaya. Four case groups were formed, which were stunted [height-for-age <-2 standard deviations (SDs)], wasted [Body mass index (BMI)-for-age <-2SDs], underweight (weight-for-age <-2SDs), and overweight (BMI-for-age >2SDs). The control group consisted of children who had normal z-scores for all malnutrition indicators (2SDs to -2SDs).

Study location
This study was conducted from September 2018 to January 2019 in Putrajaya. It involved two phases - screening and interview. Screening was conducted in all 118 preschools and all four government health clinics in Putrajaya from 12 September 2018 to 12 October 2018. The interview phase with the selected participant’s parents/caregivers was conducted from 16 October 2018 to 31 January 2019 in their house or office, their child’s kindergarten or any other venues at their convenience.

Study population
Children aged 6 to 59 months old, Malaysia citizen, and living in Putrajaya for at least six months were the inclusion criteria for this study. The exclusion criteria were children who were mentally or physically disabled, ill at the time of data collection, and children with a chronic disease that caused them the inability to take part in this study.

Sample size estimation
The sample size of this study was calculated based on the objective of identifying the associated factors (refer to Table 1) of stunting, wasting, underweight, and overweight among children under 5 years old. Sample size calculation was done using PS (Power and Sample Size Calculation) software based on the formula for comparing two proportions, according to identified associated factors in the findings from NHMS 2016 and other previous studies, with type 1 error (α) equals to 0.05 and power (β) equals to 0.80. The minimum sample size for the groups of stunted, wasted, underweight, and overweight were 380, 335, 318, and 308, respectively. Each case group was compared with the same number of children with normal nutritional status. The ratio of case to control in this study was one to one.

Respondent recruitment
Respondent recruitment for phase I (screening) was carried out in all 118 registered preschools under the Department of Social Welfare and all four government health clinics in Putrajaya. All available children who came into these facilities during the screening period were included in the database.

Respondent recruitment for phase II (interview) began after the selection of children for case and control groups from the screening database. Database of the children from screening were divided into five groups (stunted, wasted, underweight, overweight, and normal). Random between function in Excel was used for random selection of 380 stunted children, 335 wasted children, 318 underweight children, and 308 overweight children. The selected cases from each group were then tabulated by sex and age groups (6–11 months, 12–35 months, and 36–59 months). Then, the control groups were recruited by matching the sex and age group of each case group using the random between function in Excel with a one to one ratio. Ethics approval for the study was obtained from the Medical Research Ethics Committee (MREC),
Ministry of Health Malaysia (NMRR-18-847-41455). Parents or caregivers of selected participants signed the consent forms prior to taking measurements and participating in the interview sessions.

**Tools and instrument**

Four sections of data collection were carried out. The first was a face-to-face interview with parents or caregivers by trained interviewers. The collected information were saved in tablets using a custom designed application, named Survey Creating System (SCS). The information included sociodemographic and socioeconomic characteristics, participants and mothers’ health and medical history, knowledge and practice of parents or caregivers towards child feeding, dietary behaviour of the child, infant and young child feeding (IYCF) history (WHO, 2010), food security (Radimer/Cornell hunger and food security instrument (Sharif & Ang, 2001), and early years physical activity questionnaire (EY-PAQ) (Bingham, 2016).

The second section, which was anthropometric measurements for children and their parents or caregivers, were measured by nurses. Body weight was measured using the TANITA Personal scale HD 319 (TANITA Cooperation, Tokyo, Japan) and height was measured using a SECA Stadiometer 213 (SECA Cooperation, Humberg, German). The value of the measurement was rounded to the nearest 0.1kg for weight and 0.1cm for height. For baby or children who could not stand properly, their weight was measured using the SECA 354 digital baby scale and length using the SECA 210 mobile baby measuring mat. The World Health Organization (WHO) Anthro Software version 3.2.2 was used to identify eligible cases and controls (WHO, 2011a).

The third section was an anaemia test. Since anaemia is a risk factor for undernutrition in children, this study only measured haemoglobin levels of stunted, wasted, and underweight children, as well as their respective controls (Pasricha & Biggs, 2010; Khan, Awan & Misu, 2016). Haemoglobin levels of children were tested from a finger-prick blood sample using the portable HemoCue® Haemoglobin 201 analyser (HemoCue AB, Ängelholm, Sweden). Anaemia in children is defined as a haemoglobin concentration of less than 11.0g/dl as suggested by WHO (WHO, 2011b).

The fourth section was collecting data from a three-day food diary. The three-day food diary (two days weekdays and one day weekend) of children was completed by their parents or caregivers. For children who went to preschools, their teachers were given the responsibility to record their food intake in the food diary during weekdays. The information provided were verified by the interviewers before data entry. Total energy and nutrient intakes were analysed using the NutritionistPro software.

**Field preparation and logistic support**

Data collection was carried out by six teams. Each team consisted of a team leader, a research assistant, and a nurse. The list of preschools in Putrajaya was obtained from the Department of Social Welfare. All 118 preschools were informed about this study by the Department of Social Welfare. Invitation letters to participate were distributed to parents or caregivers by the preschools’ management staffs.

Body weight and height of preschool children were measured during the screening phase. Information of child’s name, date of birth, gender, name of parents or caregivers, living address, and contact number of parents or caregivers were obtained from the preschool authorities. In addition,
Figure 1. Data collection flow from screening phase to interview phase

Phase I: Screening

Four Government Health Clinics
Screened for weight and height of children under 5 years old from system and children’s health cards

118 Registered Preschools
Measured weight and height of children under 5 years old

Combined into one database of nutritional status of children under 5 years old in Putrajaya

Removed duplicates children

Excluded children living outside Putrajaya

Random selection of case (Stunting: 380; Wasting: 335; Underweight: 318; Overweight: 308) and control.

Refused respondents replaced with other children with similar criteria

Phase II: Interview

Approached parents/caregivers (at kindergartens, home or office) of eligible participants via home visit or phone call. Respondent parents/caregivers signed consent form for participation.

1st visit
- Parents/caregivers: Measured anthropometry, interviewed using the tablet, reviewed antenatal card, and asked to fill in the three-day food diary (two weekdays and one weekend). Reminder through WhatsApp or phone call.
- Children: Finger prick blood sample for anaemia test (stunting, underweight, wasting and control)

2nd visit
Collected the food diary and verified the information with parents/caregivers/ school teachers
the data collectors visited all four government health clinics in Putrajaya, located in Precincts 9, 11, 14, and 18. Information on the latest weight and height, name of child, date of birth, gender, name of parents or caregivers, living address, and contact number of parents or caregivers were obtained from the patients’ management system or record books at the clinics.

Data obtained from all 118 preschools and four government health clinics were combined in one database. Duplicates of children from preschools and government health clinics were removed, while children living outside of Putrajaya were excluded from the database. Children who met the inclusion criteria were divided into five groups, which were stunted, wasted, underweight, overweight, and normal. Then, they were randomly selected according to the sample size required for each group.

During the interview phase, parents or caregivers of the selected children were approached through phone calls and invitation letters. The data collectors visited all parents or caregivers who agreed to participate in this study. Two health clinics were established as interview centres to provide additional option for parents or caregivers. Figure 1 demonstrates the data collection flow from screening phase to interview phase.

Data management
Each selected respondent was given a unique identification number (ID) prior to the interview phase. Data from the tablets were sent directly to the server of the Institute for Public Health (IPH) via internet. Data processing and management were conducted simultaneously by the data manager at IPH to ensure that all data were correctly received. Data were then extracted into the Microsoft Excel format. The three-day food diary were delivered to IPH weekly. The data entry team in IPH received and converted the participants’ food intakes into gram based on standard recipes. After conversion to gram, the data were keyed into the NutritionistProTM software version 7.5 (Axxya System, Washington, United States of America). NutritionistProTM analysed the food intakes into calories and nutrient intakes by referencing the Malaysian Food Composition Database (MyFCD) and other established databases.

Data analysis
Descriptive statistics were used to describe the studied variables. Single and multiple logistic regression were performed to analyse potential factors of malnutrition such as the questionnaire on maternal and birth characteristics. Odds ratio (OR) and its 95% confidence interval (CI) were estimated and computed for each significant factor and continuous data using binary logistic regression. A factor with an OR significantly ($p<0.05$) >1.00 was taken as a risk factor of child malnutrition, while an OR significantly ($p<0.05$) <1.00 was regarded as a protective factor. The goodness of fit for the final logistic regression model was analysed using the Hosmer-Lemeshow technique. The statistical significant level was set at 0.05. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 26.

RESULTS
Out of 8,261 children under 5 years old recruited during screening, a total of 2,105 (25.5%), 512 (6.2%), 1,516 (18.4%), and 248 (3.0%) were found to be stunted, wasted, underweight, and overweight, respectively (Table 2). There were children who were found to have >1 indicator of malnutrition. Therefore, they were allocated into >1 case group.
In general, the number of overweight children recruited into the overweight group was less than the minimum required sample size. A total of 5,223 (64.0%) children were normal and eligible to be allocated into the control group.

Table 2 shows the results of screening for this study with comparison to the findings from NHMS 2016 in Putrajaya. On average, the prevalences of different malnutrition groups in this study were comparable with the results from NHMS 2016, except for underweight. The prevalence of underweight was significantly higher in this study according to 95% confident interval compared to NHMS 2016.

### DISCUSSION

This paper described the methodology to identify or determine factors associated with malnutrition among children under five years old in Putrajaya. The case-control study design was chosen as this was the best approach to determine whether an exposure (tested variable) is associated with an outcome (case/control) with limited time and minimum cost (Song & Chung, 2010). The cases and controls were also matched by sex and age groups to improve the study efficiency by enhancing precision in the analysis. The increase in efficiency occurs because it ensures similar number of cases and controls in confounder strata (sex and age groups) (Pearce, 2016).

The ratio of one-to-one for case group and control group was applied in this study. At the same time, all four case groups were compared with the same control group in order to provide adequate power for this study with minimum sample size. It also shortens the data collection time and reduces study expenses. However, this study has a limitation where only 248 overweight children were found, which was lower than the minimum sample size required for the overweight group. Therefore, the number of participants in the control group was increased to double the ratio of overweight to control as one to two so that it can provide adequate power to detect a significant association (Kang, Choi & Koh, 2009).

The phase I results from this study found that 25.5% of children under five years old in Putrajaya were stunted, 6.2% were wasted, 18.4% were underweight, and 3.0% were overweight. Through 95% CI, the prevalence of underweight was significantly higher when compared to the findings in NHMS 2016 for Putrajaya (12.9%). Meanwhile, the prevalence for the rest of the malnutrition indicators in this study were more comparable with the findings for Putrajaya in NHMS 2016 (24.3% stunted, 8.7% wasted, and 4.5% overweight) (IPH, 2016a).
The significantly higher prevalence of underweight children in this study was mainly due to the sampling technique used. Compared to household visits in NHMS 2016, our data collection was conducted in preschools and government health clinics in Putrajaya. Based on the current practice of ‘Standard Operation Procedure for Malnourished Children’ (MOH, 2015), underweight children are required to come to health clinics for regular monitoring of their weight status. Therefore, the bulk of underweight children sampled from health clinics has influenced our data, increasing its prevalence.

The prevalence of malnutrition from this study or NHMS 2016 for Putrajaya was lower compared to the prevalence in urban areas of Indonesia. About 34.9%, 23.4%, and 13.0% children from the urban areas of Indonesia were stunted, underweight, and overweight, respectively (Rachmi et al., 2016). Meanwhile, in the urban areas of the Philippines, 26.0%, 18.0%, and 5.0% of their children were stunted, underweight, and wasted, respectively (Rohner et al., 2013). However, the current Global Nutrition Report 2020 found a significant urban–rural divide especially in stunting prevalence. Out of 110 countries with available stunting data by location, children living in rural areas (35.6%) had higher stunting rates than those living in urban areas (25.6%). However, prevalences of wasting and overweight were more comparable. There were 12.4% wasted children reported in rural versus 11.4% in urban areas, and 4.0% overweight children in rural versus 4.9% in urban areas (Micha et al., 2020).

Although this screening phase successfully recruited >50% of the children population in Putrajaya, the convenient selection of the participants from clusters (118 preschools and four government health clinics) was not comparable with the random selection of participants from NHMS 2016. NHMS 2016 used a complex sampling approach with a sample frame provided by the Registration Department (IPH, 2016).

The sociodemographic characteristics in this study were not evenly distributed as Putrajaya is densely populated by government servants, and majority are Malays (DOSM, 2017). Therefore, the findings cannot be generalised across different ethnicities. Nevertheless, we believe the outcomes of this study will provide sufficient information for stakeholders and policy makers to plan an effective prevention to reduce the prevalence of malnutrition among children in Putrajaya or other locations with similar characteristics.

**CONCLUSION**

This case-control study was planned to identify the associated factor of malnutrition among children in Putrajaya. Therefore, the results of this study provides valuable information to combat the issue of malnutrition among children under 5 years old in Putrajaya.
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